ORIGINAL ARTICLE - Wood Science and Technology



Physical and Mechanical Characterization of Astronium Lecointei and Manilkara Huberi Branch Wood

Anselmo Junior Corrêa Araujo¹ 0 0000-0002-8649-5299 Bruno Monteiro Balboni¹ 🕩 0000-0003-1617-5254 Victor Moutinho¹ (D) 0000-0001-7770-3087

Abstract

Forest harvest residues are usable; however, studies on their quality are incipient. Thus, our study sought to perform physico-mechanical characterization of wood from the branches of Astronium lecointei and Manilkara huberi, as well as to compare its properties with trunk material found in the literature. The material was collected in the Tapajós National Forest (Flona - Tapajós) and cut for the trials performed at the Universidade Federal do Oeste do Pará (Ufopa). Descriptive statistics were used for data analysis and the averages were compared with values found in the literature for the trunk of the studied species and other commercial wood of the region. The physical properties of Astronium lecointei and Manilkara huberi showed approximate averages to those of trunk, while the mechanical properties presented lower averages. Therefore, regarding the mechanical properties, the residues may be substituents for the trunks of other species for having similar properties.

Keywords: residues, alternative utilization, technological properties.

1. INTRODUCTION AND OBJECTIVES

Branches, flat roots (sapopemas) and trunk remains, among others, are understood as residues of forest harvesting. Normative Instruction number 5 of December 11, 2006 of the Brazilian Ministry of the Environment (Brasil, 2006) legalizes and regulates the use of this material. However, the legislation clarifies the obligatory nature of studies that subsidize this activity, especially regarding the dendrometry.

In this sense, a study conducted by Silva-Ribeiro (2016) in the state of Pará shows that about 0.4 m³ for each cubic meter of wood harvested in logs are left in the forest in the form of residues (twigs > 20 cm in diameter). Studies such as that by Shen et al. (2009) and Nati et al. (2017) show the possibility of using this material as an energy source; however, other uses can be evaluated according to their dimensions. Despite the clear relevance of this material in native forests, initiatives for its use are still incipient.

The lack of industrial interest in this raw material is mainly because of the unfamiliarity with this material and its potentialities, which makes it difficult to enter the market. Thus, it is of scientific scope to investigate the properties of these residues for better visibility of their applicability. In this context, physical and mechanical properties present themselves as important indicators to evaluate woods of different origins, ages, and treatments (Pollet et al., 2017).

Regarding the wood from branches, it is also questioned if the properties are discrepant when compared with the trunk; thus, in addition to performing the physical and mechanical characterization of the wood from branches of Astronium lecointei and Manilkara huberi, our study also sought to compare the results with trunk data found in the literature.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Description of species collection and selection area

The material used in our study was from the Tapajós National Forest, located at coordinates 2° 45' and 4° 10' S and 54° 45' and 55° 30' W at Km 83 of the Santarém-Cuiabá-BR-163 Highway, coming from an area of forest management activity certified by the Forest Stewardship Council (FSC). The species were selected according to the highest volume

¹ Universidade Federal do Oeste do Pará (Ufopa), Santarém, PA, Brasil

marketed between 2009 and 2013 (SEMAS, 2015). Thus, the species *Astronium lecointei* Ducke (*muiracatiara*) and *Manilkara huberi* (Ducke) Chevalier (*maçaranduba*) met this criterion.

2.2. Collection of material and taxonomic identification

The tree material was collected from three individuals of each species during the forest harvesting activity of the Mixed Cooperative of the Tapajós National Forest (Coonflona). The trees were duly identified according to their botanical characters, and then the material from the branches was sectioned from the first bifurcation and transported to a portable mill (Lucas Mill), where 12×12 cm thick and wide pieces were cut with a minimum length of 2 meters, as shown in Figure 1. The pieces were later transported to a sawmill, where the test specimens were prepared for the tests, and the material was subsequently transported to the Wood Technology Laboratory (LTM), of the Universidade Federal do Oeste do Pará (Ufopa), for acclimatization and testing.



Figure 1. Branch material during the sectioning process.

2.3. *Physical and mechanical characterization of wood*

The physical and mechanical properties of the wood were characterized using the procedures provided in NBR-7190 (ABNT, 1997). The physical variables determined were: longitudinal (β l), radial (β r), tangential (β t) and volumetric (β v) contractions, anisotropy coefficient (AC), basic density (ρ_b) and bulk density at 12% moisture content ($\rho_{12\%}$). The mechanical properties evaluated were: hardness (f_H) proposed by Janka, parallel compression strength (f_{c0}), and modulus of rupture (f_M) and elasticity (E_{M0}) in

static bending strength tests, parallel fiber compression and hardness, performed in a DL 300 kN universal testing machine (EMIC brand).

2.4. Data analysis

Data were subjected to descriptive statistics, obtaining means, standard deviation and coefficient of variation (%). These analyses were performed using Gretl statistical software (Baiocchi & Ditaso, 2003). Simultaneously, we consulted studies in the literature that contained physical and mechanical characterization of the trunk wood of *Astronium lecointei* and *Manilkara huberi* and also of other commercial species to compare the results obtained. The characteristic values of the parallel compression strength (f_{c0}) were estimated to fit the branch wood of both species to the strength classes provided for in NBR-7190 (ABNT, 1997). The values found were transformed into a 12% moisture when necessary, also in accordance with the NBR-7190 (ABNT, 1997).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Physical properties

The physical parameters of the *Astronium lecointei* and *Manilkara huberi* wood are shown in Table 1.

The wood in which higher density values were observed also found higher levels of contraction in all axes and in their volume, except for the value observed in the longitudinal axis. As observed, longitudinal contraction values were expected to be lower than 1%. The high coefficients of variation are directly related to the precision of the equipment used in the measurement, along with the fact that the differences between the longitudinal dimensions in the saturated and anhydrous states are minimal.

The study by Vidaurre et al. (2018) evaluated the physical properties of *Schizolobium amazonicum* (a low-density wood), and they concluded that the contraction of the wood was low. In this sense, several articles have shown the direct positive correlation between the wood density and contraction levels. The results of our study follow the same assumption. It is also noted that the contraction values in the tangential axis were higher than the contraction values in the radial axis. Ribeiro et al. (2014) also found superior tangential contraction values to radial values and suggests that such a phenomenon can occur due to the organization and characteristics of the wood elements.

Variables	$ ho_{12\%} (g.cm^{-3})$	$ ho_{b} (g.cm^{-3})$	Maximum contraction (%)				
			β1	βt	βr	βv	AC
Astronium lecointei							
Mean	0.809	0.690	0.831	6.844	4.367	10.399	1.587
Standard deviation	0.067	0.056	0.218	0.845	0.563	1.721	0.245
CV (%)	8.24	8.12	26.28	12.36	12.89	16.56	15.45
Manilkara huberi							
Mean	1.093	0.893	0.523	9.126	7.708	17.612	1.196
Standard deviation	0.027	0.018	0.215	0.873	0.897	1.946	0.154
CV (%)	2.52	2.06	41.35	9.57	11.64	11.05	12.89

Table 1. Physical properties of wood from branches of Astronium lecointei and Manilkara huberi.

 $\rho_{12\%}$; bulk density at 12% moisture; ρ_b : basic density; β l: swelling; β t: shrinking; β r: radial contraction; β v: volumetric contraction; AC: anisotropy coefficient; CV: coefficient of variation.

The contraction values referring to the tangential and radial axes were considered the most important ones, since they indicate the wood dimensional stability (Oliveira et al., 2010). The disproportion between these variables, called "the anisotropy coefficient," closer to 1 indicates the wood is more stable. Therefore, the wood of *Manilkara huberi* showed greater dimensional stability, since its anisotropic coefficient is 1.196, when compared with the wood of *Astronium lecointei*, which showed a higher coefficient, 1.587. Regarding this coefficient in native woods from Amazonia, Jesus et al. (2016) reported the occurrence of greater anisotropy to the greater specific mass; however, Almeida et al. (2017) do not recommend density as a good indicator of the dimensional stability of Amazonian tropical woods.

Longui et al. (2014) found bulk density values of approximately 0.92 g.cm⁻³ and volumetric contraction of 10% when evaluating the physical properties of the trunks from *Astronium lecointei*. When comparing these results with those of branches, it is observed that the density is slightly lower, but the volumetric contraction shows a similar value. Baar et al. (2015) found a basic density of 0.84 g.cm⁻³ for *Astronium graveolens*, which is higher than that found for the branches.

For *Manilkara huberi*, Silveira et al. (2013) and Carneiro et al. (2008) found basic density of 0.878 g.cm⁻³ and 0.89 g. cm⁻³, respectively, while Medeiros Neto et al. (2016) found an apparent density of 1.06 g.cm⁻³; these values can be considered close to those for the branches. However, for *Manilkara longifolia*, Gonçalves et al. (2013) found a basic density of 0.94 g.cm⁻³.

Table 2 shows the mean values of the physical properties of *Astronium lecointei* and *Manilkara huberi* wood with values found in the literature for the trunk wood of these same species and other commercial species.

Table 2. Comparison of physical properties of wood from branches of *Astronium lecointei* and *Manilkara huberi* with physical properties of the trunk of the same species and other commercial woods.

<u>Cracies</u>	$a = (\alpha \cdot \alpha m^{-3})$	Maximum contraction (%)				
Species	$\rho_{12\%}$ (g.cm ⁺)	βt	βr	βv	A.C.	
Astronium lecointei- branch ¹	0.690	6.844	4.367	10.399	1.587	
Astronium lecointei- trunk ²	0.75	7.20	4.10	11.00	1.70	
Astronium lecointei- trunk ³	0.79	7.60	4.60	11.90	1.65	
Goupia glabra – trunk ³	0.71	7.80	4.50	12.30	1.77	
Tachigali myrmecophila – trunk³	0.60	7.30	4.30	11.60	1.75	
Manilkara huberi -branch ¹	0.893	9.126	7.708	17.612	1.196	
Manilkara huberi – trunk²	0.93	9.40	6.80	16.00	1.40	
Manilkara huberi – trunk ³	0.87	9.31	7.10	16.38	1.31	
Lecythis poiteaui- trunk ³	0.86	12.80	6.80	19.60	1.90	
Bowdichia nitida – trunk ³	0.80	8.60	6.00	14.60	1.43	

¹ Result obtained in our study; ² IBDF (1983); ³ Andrade (2015).

The physical properties of the *Astronium lecointei* branches show great similarities to the trunk wood of this species originating from Grande Carajás, PA, and Monte Dourado, PA. They are also close to physical properties of trunk wood of other commercial species of interest such as *Goupia glabra* (cupiúba) and *Tachigali myrmecophila* (tachi-preto).

Regarding *Manilkara huberi*, the branch wood did not show as much discrepancies in its physical properties when compared with the trunk wood from the same species, similar to what was observed in *Astronium lecointei*. Likewise, they can be grouped to the characteristics of the trunk of species such as *Lecythis poiteaui* (jarana-amarela) and *Bowdichia nitida* (sucupira-preta).

Therefore, regarding its physical properties, *Astronium lecointei* wood and *Manilkara huberi* branches show slightly different characteristics from the trunk wood such as the slightly lower density of the branches of both species. Small variations are also observed regarding shrinkability. It is also observed that the anisotropy coefficient of the branches is lower when compared with the trunk wood, having, therefore, greater dimensional stability.

3.2. Mechanical properties

The mechanical properties of the *Astronium lecointei* and *Manilkara huberi* branches are shown in Table 3.

The data confirmed the tendency of the higher-density woods to show the greatest strength. Longui et al. (2014) found a f_{c0} parallel compression value for the *Astronium lecointei* trunk fibers of approximately 145 MPa, that is, the branches only represent 35% of this value. This same author

also found f_M values near 100 MPa in static bending for this species, that is, the branch shows values more than 40% lower. Baar et al. (2015) found f_{c0} value of parallel compression to the fibers of 138.05 MPa and E_{M0} in the static bending of 14.29 GPa for *Astronium graveolens*, which, despite being another species of the same genus, also showed superior strength to that of the branches.

For *Manilkara huberi*, Medeiros Neto et al. (2016) found E_{M0} and f_M values in the static bending of 16.39 GPa and 186.31 MPa, being much higher values than those of the branches.

Table 4 shows the averages of the mechanical properties studied for *Astronium lecointei* and *Manilkara huberi* wood with values obtained in the literature for the trunks of these same species and other commercial woods.

Unlike the physical properties, the mechanical properties of the branches were considerably lower than those of the trunk, when considering Astronium lecointei and Manilkara huberi. For Astronium lecointei, the greatest differences were observed in the parallel fiber compression tests, in which the trunk strength ranged between 82.40 MPa and 91.75 MPa, when compared with 52.07 MPa of the branch. Differences in f_{M} in this species are also highlighted for the trunk, ranging between 102.22 MPa and 158.79 MPa, while in the branch it was only 57.63 MPa. However, there are commercial species with mechanical properties similar to those of Astronium lecointei such as Caryocar villosum (pequiá) and Erisma uncinatum (cedrinho). This allows us to infer that the Astronium lecointei branches can have the same applications as these species when considered the mechanical properties.

Table 3. Mechanical properties of wood from branches of Astronium lecointei and Manilkara huberi.

Variables	Compression parallel to fibers	Janka f _H (KN)			Static bending	
	f _{c0} (MPa)	Trans.	Tang	Rad.	E _{M0} (GPa)	f _M (MPa)
Astronium lecointei						
Mean	52.069	6.237	6.112	6.616	8.106	57.631
Standard deviation	8.268	1.137	1.580	1.902	2.504	22.308
CV (%)	15.88	18.23	25.86	28.75	30.89	38.71
Manilkara huberi						
Mean	71.997	6.112	14.490	14.574	13.777	100.960
Standard deviation	3.084	1.580	0.995	0.849	1.379	16.793
CV (%)	4.28	25.86	6.87	5.82	10.01	16.63

 f_{c_0} : modulus of rupture of the parallel compression to the fibers; f_{μ} : hardness; E_{M0} : bending; CV: coefficient of variation.

Species	f _{c0} (MPa)		E _{M0} (GPa)		
		Trans.	Tang	Rad	
Astronium lecointei- branch ¹	52.069	6.237	6.112	6.616	8.106
Astronium lecointei– trunk ²	91.75	9.40		8.38	13.73
Astronium lecointei– trunk ³	82.40	8.66		7.69	12.95
Astronium lecointei− trunk⁵	82.40	9.59		8.74	15.01
Caryocar villosum – trunk ³	50.70	8.16		7.67	10.98
Erisma uncinatum – trunk ³	49.42	5.15		6.30	
Manilkara huberi – branch ¹	71.997	6.112	14.490	14.574	13.777
Manilkara huberi – trunk²	109.92	17.40		12.30	29.97
Manilkara huberi – trunk³	108.79	13.60		14.90	17.07
Manilkara huberi – trunk ⁴	82.9	-		-	-
Mezilaurus itauba – trunk³	70.60	13.76		14.01	14.77
<i>Manilkara bidentata –</i> trunk ³	68.26	9.67		9.63	13.32

Table 4. Mechanical properties of wood from branches of *Astronium lecointei* and *Manilkara huberi* and from the trunks of the same species and other commercial woods.

¹ Result obtained in our study; ² IBDF (1983); ³ Andrade (2015); ⁴ ABNT (1997). FM: maximum force; KN: kilo newton; MCS: maximum compressive strength; MOR: modulus of rupture; and MOE: modulus of elasticity.

A similar relationship was observed in *Manilkara huberi*, especially in the static bending tests, in which the highest trunk MOR and MOE values were 244.33 MPa and 27.97 GPa, respectively. The branches only showed 100.96 MPa and 13.80 GPa for the same variables. On the other hand, in comparison with ABNT data (1997), the branch and the trunk of this species have similar compressive strength parallel to the fibers. Timber from species such as *Mezilaurus itauba* (*itauba-amarela*) and *Manilkara bidentata* (*maparajuba*) show broadly similar characteristics.

A possible explanation for the reduced strength from the trunk to the branch is the greater incidence of defects in this region of the tree like knots and irregular grains. Grazide et al. (2017) identified wood defects according to size and location such as nodes formed during their physiological development, which have a degrading influence on their mechanical properties. In their study, Zhou et al. (2018) explain that the discontinuity of the polymer such as an irregular grain has a negative effect on the mechanical properties. Although the tested pieces were free from such defects, the occurrence of these on the branches exerts influence on the whole woody tissue.

The characteristic value for the parallel compressive strength was 47.82 MPa for *Astronium lecointei*, and 73.37 MPa for *Manilkara huberi*, which places them in C40 and C60 classes, respectively, being the second largest and the highest strength class for angiosperm wood of NBR-7190 (ABNT, 1997). We think it is important to emphasize that the classes refer to wood free from defects such as knots, grain diversion, resin bags, among others, which constitute characteristics more easily found in branches than in trunks, and which should be considered when using this type of material.

Based on the aforementioned information, it can be inferred that the *Astronium lecointei* and *Manilkara huberi* branches cannot be used in the same way as the trunk, since they had considerably lower strength. Resizing beams and pillars made with branches to support the required load would be an alternative to this problem in structural applications. However, we believe it is necessary to first evaluate the amount of branch raw material available to meet the demand for resizing. Thus, branches of these species are suggested to be used in structures in situations in which stresses (compression and flexion) require less strength.

Another viable alternative is the use of this material in manufacturing furniture, which does not require great mechanical strength or parts of great magnitude. Moreover, the presence of defects can function as aesthetic appeal on specific occasions.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The physical characteristics of the wood from branches (forest harvest residues) in our study show slightly different

averages in the analyzed variables from those of the trunk and of other Amazonian commercial species. However, their mechanical properties showed highly inferior averages when compared with the trunk values of the same species, but similar to properties of trunks of other species widely marketed in the region.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

To the Universidade Federal do Oeste do Pará (Ufopa), for the funding given to conduct this study.

SUBMISSION STATUS

Received: 10 Apr. 2017 Accepted: 28 Sept. 2018 Associate editor: Edy Eime Baraúna 0 0000-0001-5239-9592

CORRESPONDENCE TO

Anselmo Junior Corrêa Araujo

Universidade Federal do Oeste do Pará (Ufopa), Rua Vera Paz, s/n, Unidade Tapajós, Bairro Salé, CEP 68035-110, Santarém, PA, Brasil e-mail: anselmojunior.stm@gmail.com

REFERENCES

Almeida TH, Almeida DH, Araújo VA, Silva SAM, Christoforo AL, Lahr FAR. Density as estimator of dimensional stability quantities of Brazilian tropical woods. *BioResources* 2017; 12(3): 6579-6590.

Andrade A. pisos de madeira: características de espécies brasileiras. Piracicaba: ANMP; 2015.

Associação Brasileira de Normas Técnicas – ABNT. *NBR-7190:* projeto de estruturas de madeira. Rio de Janeiro; 1997.

Baar J, Tippner J, Rademacher P. Prediction of mechanical properties: modulus of rupture and modulus of elasticity of five tropical species by nondestructive methods. *Maderas. Ciencia y Tecnología* 2015; 17(2): 239-252. 10.4067/S0718-221X2015005000023

Baiocchi G, Ditaso W. GRETL: econometric software for the GNU generation. *Journal of Applied Econometrics* 2003; 18: 105-110. 10.1002/jae.704

Brasil. Instrução normativa nº 5, de 11 de dezembro de 2006. Procedimentos técnicos para elaboração, apresentação, execução e avaliação técnica dos Planos de Manejo Florestal Sustentável – PMFS nas florestas primárias e suas formas de sucessão na Amazônia Legal. *Diário Oficial da União*, Brasília, DF (2006 Dec. 13); Sec. 1: 155.

Carneiro JS, Emmert L, Sternadt GH, Mendes JC, Almeida GF. Decay susceptibility of Amazon wood species from Brazil against white rot and brown rot decay fungi. *Holzforschung* 2008; 63(6): 767-772. 10.1515/HF.2009.119

Gonçalves F, Pinheiro DTC, Paes JB, Carvalho AG. Durabilidade natural de espécies florestais madeireiras ao ataque de cupim de madeira seca. *Floresta e Ambiente* 2013, 20(1): 110-116. 10.4322/ floram.2012.063

Grazide C, Coureau JL, Cointe A, Morel S. Mechanical performance curves for the strength grading of maritime pine. *European Journal of Wood and Wood Products* 2017; 76(3): 877-888. 10.1007/s00107-017-1241-4

Instituto Brasileiro de Desenvolvimento Florestal – IBDF. *Potencial madeireiro do Grande Carajás*. Brasília: IBDF; 1983.

Jesus AG, Modes KS, Santos LMH, Bento AR, Gusmão M. Comportamento das madeiras de três espécies amazônicas submetidas à secagem ao ar. *Nativa* 2016; 4(1): 31-35. 10.14583/2318-7670.v04n01a07

Longui EL, Lima IL, Lombardi DR, Garcia JN, Alves ES. Woods with physical, mechanical and acoustic properties similar to those of *Caesalpinia echinata* have high potential as alternative woods for bow makers. *Cerne* 2014; 20(3): 369-376. 10.1590/01047760201420031345

Medeiros Neto PNM, Paes JB, Segundinho PGA. Determinações dos módulos de elasticidade e ruptura de madeiras por técnicas não destrutivas e destrutivas. *Scientia Forestalis* 2016; 44(111): 683-690. 10.18671/scifor.v44n111.14

Nati C, Boschiero M, Picchi G, Mastrolonardo G, Kelderer M, Zerbe S. Energy performance of a new biomass harvester for recovery of orchard wood wastes as alternative to mulching. *Renewable Energy* 2017; 124(spec. ed.): 121-128. 10.1016/j. renene.2017.07.030

Oliveira JTS, Tomazello Filho M, Fiedler NC. Avaliação da retratibilidade da madeira de sete espécies de *Eucalyptus. Revista* **Árvore** 2010; 34(5): 929-936. 10.1590/S0100-67622010000500018

Pollet C, Henin JM, Hébert J, Jourez B. Effect of growth rate on the physical and mechanical properties of Douglas-fir in western Europe. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 2017; 47(8): 1056-1065. 10.1139/cjfr-2016-0290

Ribeiro AO, Mendes RF, Mendes LM, Mori FA, Ziech RQS. Retratibilidade da madeira de *Toona ciliata* M. Roem proveniente de três municípios do sul de Minas Gerais. *Cerne* 2014; 20(3): 351-361. 10.1590/01047760201420031315

Secretaria de Estado de Meio Ambiente e Sustentabilidade – SEMAS. *Extração e movimentação de tora de madeiras nativas por município: relatórios anuais, 2009-2013.* Belém: SEMAS; 2015.

Shen J, Wang XS, Garcia-Perez M, Mourant D, Rhodes MJ, Li CZ. Effects of particle size on the fast pyrolysis of oil mallee woody biomass. *Fuel* 2009; 88(10): 1810-1817. 10.1016/j.fuel.2009.05.001

Silva-Ribeiro RB, Gama JRV, Souza AL, Leite HG, Soares CPB, Silva GF. Métodos para estimar o volume de fustes e galhos na floresta nacional do Tapajós. *Revista* Árvore 2016; 40(1): 81-88. 10.1590/0100-67622016000100009

Silveira LHC, Rezende AV, Vale AT. Teor de umidade e densidade básica da madeira de nove espécies comerciais amazônicas. *Acta Amazonica* 2013; 43(2): 179-184. 10.1590/S0044-59672013000200007

Vidaurre GB, Vital BR, Oliveira AC, Oliveira JTS, Moulin JC, Silva JCM, Soranso DR. Physical and mechanical properties of juvenile *Schizolobium amazonicum* Wood. *Revista Árvore* 2018; 42(1): e420101. 10.1590/1806-90882018000100001

Zhou AP, Bian YL, Shen YR, Huang DS, Zhou MJ. Inelastic bending performances of laminated bamboo beams: experimental investigation and analytical study. *BioResources* 2018; 13(1): 131-146.